



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/828,953	04/10/2001	Paul E. Arrasmith	JEK/JJC/Arrasmith	. 6969	
75	90 05/14/2003				
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
625 Slaters Lan Alexandria, VA	,		FLORES SANC	HEZ, OMAR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	//	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
_	09/828,953	ARRASMITH ET A			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724			
Th MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app			dress		
Period for Reply		•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep  within the statutory minimum of thirty ( will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH,  cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely IS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			e merits is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are withdrawn	from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examin	er.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	•				
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li><li>application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).		
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	* *				
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No formal Patent Application (PT			
0.0-1-1-17-17-1					

The second secon

Application/Control Number: 09/828,953

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 2-4 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No.6.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1 03(a) as being unpatentable over Hoehn in view of G. W. Urschel et al. (3, 521,688).

Hoehn discloses (Fig. 1-10) the invention substantially as claimed including a circular rear base plate 74, a first plurality of food conveying paddles 28a-e, the end of each paddle located adjacent the rear base plate being located at least in partially trailing relationship. Hoehn doesn't show one forward located annular ring. However, Urschel teaches the use of one forward located annular ring for the purpose of increasing the structural integrity. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have

Application/Control Number: 09/828,953

Art Unit: 3724

modified Urschel's paddles by providing one forward located annular ring as taught by Urschel in order obtain increase the structural integrity.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Hoehn does not disclose "radially outer axially extending edges and radially inner axially extending edges". The examiner considers that Hoehn discloses radially outer axially extending edges 28 b and radially inner axially extending edges 28a. Also, applicant does not disclose the exact location of inner and outer axially extending edges in the drawing and/or specification. Applicant argues that Hoehn does not disclose "the paddles extend at an angle". The examiner considers that Hoehn discloses the paddles extend at an angle (see Fig. 3). Also, in the claim there is no evidence that the paddles extend at only one angle and for that reason one of the plurality of angles in Hoehn's invention reads on the limitation.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the suggestion to combine can be found in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art and it is supported by Urchel's reference teaching the use of two opposite walls.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,953

Art Unit: 3724

### **Conclusion**

Page 4

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9302 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ofs

May 9, 2003

KENNETH E. PETERSON